UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,865	02/02/2001	Carl G. Hellerqvist	22100-0100 (46126-252687)	7056	
	23370 7590 06/11/2007 JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ EXAMINER				
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP			RAWLINGS, STEPHEN L		
1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1643		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/776,865	HELLERQVIST,	CARL G.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1643	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	*(3) <u>Elena S. Polovnikov</u>	a, <i>Ph.D</i> .	
(2) <u>Jamie Greene</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 06 June 2007.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representa	tive]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊡ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 4-16, 35, 36, and 44</u> .		·	
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	☐ was not reached. h)∑	☑ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed	to if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenda allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	py of the amendments that	agreed would rende at would render the	er the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AND INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF THE WAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERTIES A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERTIES OF THE INTERTIES OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERTIES OF THE WAILING WAS A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERTIES OF THE WAILING WAS A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE WAILING WAS A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE WAILING WAS A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE WAILING WAS A STATEMENT OF THE WAILING WAS A ST	last Office action has alrea DF ONE MONTH OR THIF ERVIEW SUMMARY FORI	idy been filed, APPI RTY DAYS FROM T M. WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS
	,		
•			
		·	
		·)	
	STEPHEN I	RAWLINGS, PHLI RY EXAMINER	a
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	·		
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's si	gnature, if required	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Greene and Dr. Polovnikova discussed proposed amendments to the claims that are directed toward obviating the rejections of record, particularly the written description rejections. The Examiner provided an example of language that might be used in the claims to adequately describe the immunogenic fragments, so as to overcome the written description rejection, and suggested that the scope of the claims be narrowed so as to be more commensurate in scope with the disclosure and/or declaratory evidence now of record. Even so, the Examiner could not state whether such amendments would obviate the enablement rejection but agreed to carefully consider the merit of the amended claims in light of the disclosure, the declaratory evidence, and other factual evidence of record while assessing whether the skilled artisan could have made and/or used the claimed invention at the time the application was filed without undue and/or unreasonable experimentation.

STEPHEN L. RAWLINGS, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER